HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SHRI G.S. SINGHVI
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE G. V. SEETHAPATHY

Writ Petition Nos.14189, 14190 and 14352 of 2006

Writ Petition No.14189 of 2006
Between:

Ch. Rajendar Reddy … Petitioner.

AND

State Election Commission,
rep. by its Secretary,
Secunderabad & others. … Respondents.

Writ Petition No.14190 of 2006
Between:

B.Jayasudha … Petitioner.

AND

State Election Commission,
rep. by its Secretary,
Secunderabad & others. … Respondents.

Writ Petition No.14352 of 2006
Between:

Mamidi Ramesh … Petitioner.

AND

State Election Commission,
rep. by its Secretary,
Secunderabad & others. … Respondents.

::O R D E R ::

Counsel for the Petitioner
(in all the writ petitions) : Sri K.Ananda Rao

Counsel for Respondent No.1 : Sri V.V. Prabhakara Rao

Counsel for Respondent Nos.2 to 5 : Government Pleader for

Panchayat Raj.

21st JULY 2006

Per G.S. Singhvi, C.J.

In these petitions, the petitioners have prayed for quashing proceedings dated 14-6-2006 issued by the State Election Commission (for short, 'the Commission') in the matter of determination of eligibility for contesting election to different Gram Panchayats. They have also filed miscellaneous petitions for suspending the operation of proceedings dated 14-6-2006.

By an order dated 14-7-2006 passed in WPMP.Nos.17673, 17674 and 17864 of 2006 in Writ Petition Nos.14189, 14190 and 14352 of 2006, a learned Single Judge suspended the operation of Clause 1 of proceedings dated 14-6-2006.

Learned counsel for the Commission says that appropriate action has already been taken in compliance of the interim order passed by the learned Single Judge and, therefore, the writ petitions may be disposed of as infructuous.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the Commission, the writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous.

G.S. SINGHVI, C.J.

21st July, 2006.

G. V. SEETHAPATHY, J.

ARS/svs